

No. ID/KNL/61/82/29215.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman, Shri Mohinder Singh and the management of M/s Jai Bharat Spinners, Industrial Area, Panipat regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7A of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Mohinder Singh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/YMN/24/82/29221.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Kishan and the management of M/s Satish Kumar Pardeep Kumar, Khajoori Road, Yamuna Nagar, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with Notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Ram Kishan was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/YMN/89/82/29227.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Rishi Pal and the management of the M/s United Enterprises, Jesico Colony, Jagadhri, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Rishi Pal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/KNL/62/82/29233.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Rajinder Kumar and the management of M/s Jai Bharat Spinners, Industrial Area, Panipat, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Rajinder Kumar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?